## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

2008 JUL -3 AM 10: 23

		CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Major Major		
FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: MA/26/2008 DEPUTY		
CASE NO.: 08cv0408 WQH DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff .		
CASE TITLE: Daughtery v. Wilson, et al		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion and Request for Issuance of Civil Subpoena		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy
Y	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
$\bigcap$	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
$\overline{\Box}$	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
$\bar{x}$	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
ā	7.1	Missing table of contents
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
		Supplemental documents require court order
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		OTHER: No original signature or proof of service.
Date forwarded: <u>6/26/2008</u>		
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
	The docum	ent is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED to copy of this order on all parties.		ent is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a sorder on all parties.
	Rejected de	ocument to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pu	
	to Local R	chambers of: Maso
	Dated: 7/	CHAMBERS OF: Mayor  12/08  By: Law Clerk  ties